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## Blackbox Macromodeling



Objective: Perform timedomain simulation of composite network to determine timing waveforms, noise response or eye diagrams

## Macromodel Implementation



## Blackbox Synthesis

## Motivations

- Only measurement data is available
- Actual circuit model is too complex


## Methods

- Inverse-Transform \& Convolution
- IFFT from frequency domain data
- Convolution in time domain
- Macromodel Approach
- Curve fitting
- Recursive convolution


## Blackbox Synthesis



Terminations are described by a source vector $G(\omega)$ and an impedance matrix Z

Blackbox is described by its scattering parameter matrix $S$

## Blackbox - Method 1

Scattering Parameters $\quad B(\omega)=S(\omega) A(\omega)$
Terminal conditions $\quad A(\omega)=\Gamma B(\omega)+T G(\omega)$
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma & =-\left[U+Z Z_{o}^{-1}\right]^{-1}\left[U-Z Z_{o}^{-1}\right] \\
T & =\left[U+Z Z_{o}^{-1}\right]^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$U$ is the unit matrix, $Z$ is the termination impedance matrix and $Z_{o}$ is the reference impedance matrix

## Blackbox - Method 1

Combining (1) and (2) $A(\omega)=[U-\Gamma S(\omega)]^{-1} T G(\omega)$

$$
\text { and } \quad B(\omega)=S(\omega) A(\omega)=S(\omega)[U-\Gamma S(\omega)]^{-1} T G(\omega)
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
V(\omega)=A(\omega)+B(\omega)=[U+S(\omega)][U-\Gamma S(\omega)]^{-1} T G(\omega) \\
I(\omega)=Z_{o}^{-1}[A(\omega)-B(\omega)]=Z_{o}^{-1}[U-S(\omega)][U-\Gamma S(\omega)]^{-1} T G(\omega) \\
v(t)=\operatorname{IFFT}\{V(\omega)\} \\
i(t)=\operatorname{IFFT}\{I(\omega)\}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Method 1 - Limitations

- No Frequency Dependence for Terminations
$>$ Reactive terminations cannot be simulated
- Only Linear Terminations
$>$ Transistors and active nonlinear terminations cannot be described
- Standalone
$>$ This approach cannot be implemented in a simulator


## Blackbox - Method 2



In frequency domain $B=S A$

In time domain $\quad b(t)=s(t) * a(t)$
Convolution: $\quad s(t) * a(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s(t-\tau) a(\tau) d \tau$

## Discrete Convolution

When time is discretized the convolution becomes

$$
s(t) * a(t)=\sum_{\tau=1}^{t} s(t-\tau) a(\tau) \Delta \tau
$$

Isolating $a(t)$

$$
s(t) * a(t)=s(0) a(t) \Delta \tau+\sum_{\tau=1}^{t-1} s(t-\tau) a(\tau) \Delta \tau
$$

Since $a(t)$ is known for $t<t$, we have:

$$
H(t)=\sum_{\tau=1}^{t-1} s(t-\tau) a(\tau) \Delta \tau: \quad \text { History }
$$

## Terminal Conditions

Defining $s^{\prime}(0)=s(0) \Delta \tau$, we finally obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b(t)=s^{\prime}(0) a(t)+H(t) \\
& a(t)=\Gamma(t) b(t)+T(t) g(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

By combining these equations, the stamp can be derived

## Stamp Equation Derivation

The solutions for the incident and reflected wave vectors are given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a(t) & =\left[1-\Gamma(t) s^{\prime}(0)\right]^{-1}[T(t) g(t)+\Gamma(t) H(t)] \\
b(t) & =s^{\prime}(0) a(t)+H(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

The voltage wave vectors can be related to the voltage and current vectors at the terminals

$$
\begin{aligned}
a(t) & =\frac{1}{2}\left[v(t)+Z_{o} i(t)\right] \\
b(t) & =\frac{1}{2}\left[v(t)-Z_{o} i(t)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Stamp Equation Derivation

From which we get

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left[v(t)-Z_{o} i(t)\right]=\frac{s^{\prime}(0)}{2}\left[v(t)+Z_{o} i(t)\right]+H(t)
$$

or

$$
Z_{o} i(t)+s^{\prime}(0) Z_{o} i(t)+2 H(t)=\left[1-s^{\prime}(0)\right] v(t)
$$

or

$$
\left[1+s^{\prime}(0)\right] Z_{o} i(t)=\left[1-s^{\prime}(0)\right] v(t)-2 H(t)
$$

which leads to

$$
i(t)=Z_{o}^{-1}\left[1+s^{\prime}(0)\right]^{-1}\left[1-s^{\prime}(0)\right] v(t)-2 Z_{o}^{-1}\left[1+s^{\prime}(0)\right]^{-1} H(t)
$$

## Stamp Equation Derivation

$i(t)$ can be written to take the form

$$
i(t)=Y_{\text {stamp }} v(t)-I_{\text {stamp }}
$$

in which

$$
Y_{\text {stamp }}=Z_{o}^{-1}\left[1+s^{\prime}(0)\right]^{-1}\left[1-s^{\prime}(0)\right]
$$

and

$$
I_{\text {stamp }}=2 Z_{o}^{-1}\left[1+s^{\prime}(0)\right]^{-1} H(t)
$$

## Stamp Equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
i(t) & =Y_{\text {stamp }} v(t)-I_{\text {stanp }} \\
Y_{\text {stamp }} & =Z_{o}^{-1}\left[1+s^{\prime}(0)\right]^{-1}\left[1-s^{\prime}(0)\right] \\
I_{\text {stamp }} & =2 Z_{o}^{-1}\left[1+s^{\prime}(0)\right]^{-1} H(t)
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\left(Y_{g}+Y_{s t a m p}\right) V(t)=I_{g}+I_{s t a m p}
$$

## Effects of DC Data

## No DC Data Point



## With DC Data Point



If low-frequency data points are not available, extrapolation must be performed down to DC.

## Effect of Low-Frequency Data




## Effect of Low-Frequency Data

Calculating inverse Fourier Transform of: $\quad V(f)=\frac{2 \sin (2 \pi f t)}{2 \pi f t}$



Left: IFFT of a sinc pulse sampled from 10 MHz to 10 GHz . Right: IFFT of the same sinc pulse with frequency data ranging from $0-10 \mathrm{GHz}$. In both cases 1000 points are used

## Convolution Limitations

Frequency-Domain Formulation $\quad Y(\omega)=H(\omega) X(\omega)$

Time-Domain Formulation

$$
y(t)=h(t) * x(t)
$$

Convolution

$$
y(t)=h(t) * y(t)=\int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau) y(\tau) d \tau
$$

Discrete Convolution

$$
h(t) * x(t)=\sum_{\tau=1}^{t} h(t-\tau) x(\tau) \Delta \tau
$$

$$
H(t)=\sum_{\tau=1}^{t-1} h(t-\tau) x(\tau) \Delta \tau: \quad \text { History }
$$

Computing History is computationally expensive $\rightarrow$ Use FD rational approximation and TD recursive convolution

## Frequency and Time Domains

1. For negative frequencies use conjugate relation $V(-\omega)=V^{*}(\omega)$
2. DC value: use lower frequency measurement
3. Rise time is determined by frequency range or bandwidth
4. Time step is determined by frequency range
5. Duration of simulation is determined by frequency step

## Problems and Issues

- Discretization: (not a continuous spectrum)
- Truncation: frequency range is band limited
$F$ : frequency range
N : number of points
$\Delta \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{N}$ : frequency step
$\Delta \mathrm{t}=$ time step


## Problems and Issues

| Problems \& Limitations <br> (in frequency domain) | Consequences <br> (in time domain) | Solution |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Discretization | Time-domain response will repeat <br> itself periodically (Fourier series) <br> Aliasing effects | Take small frequency steps. <br> Minimum sampling rate <br> must be the Nyquist rate |
| Truncation in <br> Frequency | Time-domain response will have <br> finite time resolution (Gibbs effect) | Take maximum frequency <br> as high as possible |
| No negative <br> frequency values | Time-domain response will be <br> complex | Define negative-frequency <br> values and use V(-f)= $V^{*}(\mathrm{f})$ <br> which forces v(t) to be real |
| No DC value | Offset in time-domain response, <br> ringing in base line | Use measurement at the <br> lowest frequency as the DC <br> value |

## Complex Plane

- An arbitrary network's transfer function can be described in terms of its s-domain representation
$-s$ is a complex number $s=\sigma+j \omega$
- The impedance (or admittance) or transfer function of networks can be described in the s domain as

$$
T(s)=\frac{a_{m} s^{m}+a_{m-1} s^{m-1}+\ldots+a_{1} s+a_{0}}{s^{n}+b_{n-1} s^{n-1}+\ldots+b_{1} s+b_{0}}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Transfer Functions } \\
T(s)=\frac{a_{m} s^{m}+a_{m-1} s^{m-1}+\ldots+a_{1} s+a_{0}}{s^{n}+b_{n-1} s^{n-1}+\ldots+b_{1} s+b_{0}}
\end{array}
$$

The coefficients $a$ and $b$ are real and the order $m$ of the numerator is smaller than or equal to the order $n$ of the denominator

A stable system is one that does not generate signal on its own.

For a stable network, the roots of the denominator should have negative real parts

## Transfer Functions

The transfer function can also be written in the form

$$
T(s)=a_{m} \frac{\left(s-Z_{1}\right)\left(s-Z_{2}\right) \ldots\left(s-Z_{m}\right)}{\left(s-P_{1}\right)\left(s-P_{2}\right) \ldots\left(s-P_{m}\right)}
$$

$Z_{1}, Z_{2}, \ldots Z_{m}$ are the zeros of the transfer function
$P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots P_{m}$ are the poles of the transfer function
For a stable network, the poles should lie on the left half of the complex plane

## Model Order Reduction



## Model Order Reduction

Objective: Approximate frequency-domain transfer function to take the form:

$$
H(\omega)=\left[A_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{L} \frac{a_{i i}}{1+j \omega / \omega_{c l i}}\right]
$$

Methods

- AWE - Pade
- Pade via Lanczos (Krylov methods)
- Rational Function
- Chebyshev-Rational function
- Vector Fitting Method


## Model Order Reduction (MOR)

Question: Why use a rational function approximation?
Answer: because the frequency-domain relation

$$
Y(\omega)=H(\omega) X(\omega)=\left[d+\sum_{k=1}^{L} \frac{c_{k}}{1+j \omega / \omega_{c k}}\right] X(\omega)
$$

will lead to a time-domain recursive convolution:
where

$$
y(t)=d x(t-T)+\sum_{k=1}^{L} y_{p k}(t)
$$

$$
y_{p k}(t)=a_{k} x(t-T)\left(1-e^{-\omega_{k} T}\right)+e^{-\omega_{\alpha k} T} y_{p k}(t-T)
$$

which is very fast!

## Model Order Reduction

Transfer function is approximated as

$$
H(\omega)=d+\sum_{k=1}^{L} \frac{c_{k}}{1+j \omega / \omega_{c k}}
$$

# In order to convert data into rational function form, we need a curve fitting scheme $\rightarrow$ Use Vector Fitting 

## History of Vector Fitting (VF)

- 1998 - Original VF formulated by Bjorn Gustavsen and Adam Semlyen*
- 2003 - Time-domain VF (TDVF) by S. Grivet-Talocia.
- 2005-Orthonormal VF (OVF) by Dirk Deschrijver, Tom Dhaene, et al.
- 2006 - Relaxed VF by Bjorn Gustavsen.
- 2006 - VF re-formulated as Sanathanan-Koerner (SK) iteration by W. Hendrickx, Dirk Deschrijver and Tom Dhaene, et al.

[^0]
## Vector Fitting (VF)

Vector fitting algorithm

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\sigma(s) f(s) \\
\sigma(s)
\end{array}\right] \approx\left[\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{c_{n}}{s-\tilde{a}_{n}}+d+s h \\
\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\tilde{c}_{n}}{s-\tilde{a}_{n}}+1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Avoid ill-conditioned matrix


Guarantee stability
$\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{c_{n}}{s-\tilde{a}_{n}}+d+s h\right)-\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\tilde{c}_{n}}{s-\tilde{a}_{n}}\right) f(s) \approx f(s)$.
Converge, accurate
Solve for $c_{n}, \tilde{c}_{n}, d, h$
With Good Initial Poles

Can show* that the zeros of $\sigma(s)$ are the poles of $f(s)$ for the next iteration

* B. Gustavsen and A. Semlyen, "Rational approximation of frequency responses by vector fitting," IEEE
Trans. Power Del., vol. 14, no. 3, pp 1052-1061, Jul. 1999


## Examples

## 1.- DISC: Transmission line with discontinuities



## 2.- COUP: Coupled transmission line2



Frequency sweep: $300 \mathrm{KHz} \mathbf{- 6} \mathbf{~ G H z}$

## DISC: Approximation Results



DISC: Approximation order 90

## DISC: Simulations

Microstrip line with discontinuities Data from 300 KHz to 6 GHz


Observation: Good agreement

## COUP: Approximation Results



COUP: Approximation order 75 - Before Passivity Enforcement

## COUP: Simulations <br> 

Port 1: a - Port 2: d Data from 300 KHz to 6 GHz


Observation: Good agreement

## Orders of Approximation



Low order



Medium order



Higher order


## MOR Attributes

- Accurate:- over wide frequency range.
-Stable:- All poles must be in the left-hand side in s-plane or inside in the unit-circle in z-plane.
-Causal:- Hilbert transform needs to be satisfied.
-Passive:- $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{s})$ is analytic

$$
h[n]=h_{e}[n]+h_{o}[n] \Leftrightarrow H(j \omega)=H_{R}(j \omega)+j H_{I}(j \omega)
$$

$$
H^{*}(s)=H\left(s^{*}\right),
$$

$$
z^{* T}\left[\boldsymbol{H}^{T}\left(s^{*}\right)+\boldsymbol{H}(s)\right] z \geq 0, \quad \mathbb{R}[s]>0 \quad \text {,for } \mathrm{Y} \text { or } \mathrm{Z} \text {-parameters. }
$$

$$
I-\boldsymbol{H}^{T}\left(s^{*}\right) \boldsymbol{H}(s) \geq 0, \quad \mathbb{R}[s]>0 \quad \text {,for S-parameters. }
$$

## MOR Problems

- Bandwidth
>Low-frequency data must be added
- Passivity
>Passivity enforcement
- High Order of Approximation
> Orders > 800 for some serial links
$>$ Delay need to be extracted


## Causality Violations



## NON-CAUSAL

$$
Z(f)=R_{o} \sqrt{f}+j L \omega
$$

Near (blue) and Far (red) end responses of lossy TL

CAUSAL $\rightarrow$

$$
Z(f)=R_{o} \sqrt{f}+j R_{o} \sqrt{f}+j L \omega
$$



## Fourier Transform Pairs

$a_{r e}(t)$ : real part of even time-domain function
$a_{i e}(t)$ : imaginary part of even time-domain function
$a_{r o}(t)$ : real part of odd time-domain function
$a_{i o}(t)$ : imaginary part of odd time-domain function

$$
a(t)=a_{r e}(t)+j a_{i e}(t)+a_{r o}(t)+j a_{i o}(t)
$$

In the frequency domain accounting for all the components, we can write:
$A_{R E}(\omega)$ : real part of even function in the frequency domain
$A_{\text {IE }}(\omega)$ : imaginary part of even function in the frequency domain
$A_{R O}(\omega)$ : real part of odd function in the frequency domain
$A_{I O}(\omega)$ : imaginary part of odd function in the frequency domain

$$
A(\omega)=A_{R E}(\omega)+j A_{I E}(\omega)+A_{R O}(\omega)+j A_{I O}(\omega)
$$

## Fourier Transform Pairs

We also have the Fourier-transform-pair relationships:
Time Domain : $a(t)=a_{r e}(t)+j a_{i e}(t)+a_{r o}(t)+j a_{i o}(t)$


Freq Domain : $A(\omega)=A_{R E}(\omega)+j A_{I E}(\omega)+A_{R O}(\omega)+j A_{I O}(\omega)$

$$
B(\omega)=S(\omega)\left[A_{R E}(\omega)+j A_{I E}(\omega)+A_{R O}(\omega)+j A_{I O}(\omega)\right]
$$

In the time domain, this corresponds to:

$$
b(t)=s(t) *\left[\left(a_{r e}(t)+a_{r o}(t)\right)+j\left(a_{i e}(t)+a_{i o}(t)\right)\right]
$$

## Fourier Transform Pairs

We now impose the restriction that in the time domain, the function must be real. As a result,

$$
a_{i e}(t)=a_{i o}(t)=0 \quad \text { which implies that: } \quad A_{I E}(\omega)=A_{R O}(\omega)=0
$$

The Fourier-transform pair relationship then becomes:
Time Domain : $a(t)=a_{r e}(t)+a_{r o}(t)$


Freq Domain: $A(\omega)=A_{R E}(\omega)+j A_{I O}(\omega)$
The frequency-domain relations reduce to:

$$
B(\omega)=S(\omega)\left[A_{R E}(\omega)+j A_{I O}(\omega)\right]
$$

## Fourier Transform Pairs

In summary, the general relationship is:
Time Domain : $b(t)=b_{r e}(t)+j b_{i e}(t)+b_{r o}(t)+j b_{i o}(t)$


Freq Domain: $B(\omega)=B_{R E}(\omega)+j B_{I E}(\omega)+B_{R O}(\omega)+j B_{I O}(\omega)$
But for a real system:
Time Domain: $b(t)=b_{r e}(t)+j b_{i e}(t)+b_{r o}(t)+j b_{i o}(t)$


Freq Domain: $B(\omega)=B_{R E}(\omega)+j B_{I E}(\omega)+B_{R O}(\omega)+j B_{I O}(\omega)$

## Fourier Transform Pairs

So, in summary

Time Domain : $b(t)=b_{e}(t)+b_{o}(t)$


Freq Domain : $B(\omega)=B_{R}(\omega)+j B_{I}(\omega)$

The real part of the frequency-domain transfer function is associated with the even part of the time-domain response

The imaginary part of the frequency-domain transfer function is associated with the odd part of the time-domain response

## Causality Principle

Consider a function $h(t)$

$$
h(t)=0, \quad t<0
$$

Every function can be considered as the sum of an even function and an odd function

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h(t)=h_{e}(t)+h_{o}(t) \\
& h_{e}(t)=\frac{1}{2}[h(t)+h(-t)] \quad \text { Even function } \\
& h_{o}(t)=\frac{1}{2}[h(t)-h(-t)] \quad \text { Odd function } \\
& h_{o}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
h_{e}(t), & t>0 \\
-h_{e}(t), & t<0
\end{array}\right. \\
& h_{o}(t)=\operatorname{sgn}(t) h_{e}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Hilbert Transform

$$
h(t)=h_{e}(t)+\operatorname{sgn}(t) h_{e}(t)
$$

In frequency domain this becomes

$$
\begin{gathered}
H(f)=H_{e}(f)+\frac{1}{j \pi f} * H_{e}(f) \\
H(f)=H_{e}(f)-j \hat{H}_{e}(f)
\end{gathered}
$$

$\rightarrow$ Imaginary part of
transfer function is related to the real part through the Hilbert transform
$\hat{H}_{e}(f)$ is the Hilbert transform of $H_{e}(f)$

$$
\hat{x}(t)=x(t) * \frac{1}{\pi t}=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{x(\tau)}{t-\tau} d \tau
$$

## Discrete Hilbert Transform

$\rightarrow$ Imaginary part of transfer function cab be recovered from the real part through the Hilbert transform
$\Rightarrow$ If frequency-domain data is discrete, use discrete Hilbert Transform (DHT)*

$$
H\left(f_{n}\right)=\hat{f}_{k}= \begin{cases}\frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n \text { odd }} \frac{f_{n}}{k-n}, & k \text { even } \\ \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n \text { even }} \frac{f_{n}}{k-n}, & k \text { odd }\end{cases}
$$

*S. C. Kak, "The Discrete Hilbert Transform", Proceedings of the IEEE, pp. 585-586, April 1970.

## HT for Via: 1 MHz - 20 GHz

$\Longrightarrow$ Transform
Real(S11) - Via


| Actual |
| :---: |
| Transform |



Imag(S11) - Via


Actual is red, HT is blue


Observation: Poor agreement (because frequency range is limited)


Observation: Good agreement

## Microstrip Line S11



## Microstrip Line S21



## Discontinuity S11



## Discontinuity S21



## Backplane S11



## Backplane S21



## HT of Minimum Phase System

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|H_{i j}(s)\right|=\left|M_{i j}(s)\left\|P_{i j}(s)\right\| e^{-s \tau_{i j}}\right| \\
& \quad\left|P_{i j}(j \omega)\right|=\left|e^{-j \omega \tau_{i j}}\right|=1 \\
& s=j \omega \quad\left|H_{i j}(j \omega)\right|=\left|M_{i j}(j \omega)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

The phase of a minimum phase system can be completely determined by its magnitude via the Hilbert transform

$$
\begin{gathered}
\arg \left[M_{i j}(\omega)\right]=\frac{2 \omega}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{U(\xi)-U(\omega)}{(\xi+\omega)(\xi-\omega)} d \xi \\
U(\omega)=\ln \left|M_{i j}(\omega)\right|=\ln \left|H_{i j}(\omega)\right|
\end{gathered}
$$

## Enforcing Causality in TL

The complex phase shift of a lossy transmission line

$$
X=e^{-\gamma l}=e^{-\sqrt{(R+j \omega L)(G+j \omega C) l}} \text { is non causal }
$$

We assume that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{-j \phi(\omega)} e^{-\alpha(\omega)}=e^{+j \omega \sqrt{L C} l} e^{-\sqrt{(R+j \omega L)(G+j \omega C) l}} \quad \text { is minimum phase non causal } \\
& H T\left\{\ln \left|e^{-j \phi(\omega)} e^{-\alpha(\omega)}\right|\right\}=H T\left\{\ln \left|e^{-\gamma l}\right|\right\}=-\phi^{\prime}(\omega) \\
& \qquad e^{-j \phi^{\prime}(\omega)} e^{-\alpha(\omega)} \text { is minimum phase and causal } \\
& \qquad X^{\prime}=e^{-j \phi^{\prime}(\omega)} e^{-\alpha(\omega)} e^{-j \omega \sqrt{L C} l} \quad \text { is the causal phase shift of the TL } \\
& \text { In essence, we keep the magnitude of the propagation function of the TL but we } \\
& \text { calculate/correct for the phase via the Hilbert transform. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Passivity Assessment

Can be done using $S$ parameter Matrix

$$
D=\left(1-S^{* T} S\right)=\text { Dissipation Matrix }
$$

All the eigenvalues of the dissipation matrix must be greater than 0 at each sampled frequency points.

This assessment method is not very robust since it may miss local nonpassive frequency points between sampled points.
$\Rightarrow$ Use Hamiltonian from State Space Representation

## MOR and Passivity



## State-Space Representation

The State space representation of the transfer function is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{x}(t)=A x(t)+B u(t) \\
& y(t)=C x(t)+D u(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

The transfer function is given by

$$
S(s)=\boldsymbol{C}(s \boldsymbol{I}-\boldsymbol{A})^{-1} \boldsymbol{B}+\boldsymbol{D}
$$

## Procedure

- Approximate all $\mathrm{N}^{2}$ scattering parameters using Vector Fitting
- Form Matrices A, B, C and D for each approximated scattering parameter
- Form A, B, C and D matrices for complete Nport
- Form Hamiltonian Matrix H


## Constructing $\boldsymbol{A}_{i j}$

Matrix $A_{i i}$ is formed by using the poles of $S_{i i}$. The poles are arranged in the diagonal.

$$
\boldsymbol{A}_{i i}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{1}^{(i i)} & b_{1}^{(i i)} & 0 & 0 \\
-b_{1}^{(i i)} & a_{1}^{(i i)} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \bullet & \bullet \\
0 & 0 & \bullet & a_{L}^{(i i)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Complex poles are arranged with their complex conjugates with the imaginary part placed as shown.
$A_{i i}$ is an $L \times L$ matrix

## Constructing $C_{i j}$

Vector $C_{i j}$ is formed by using the residues of $S_{i j}$.

$$
\boldsymbol{C}_{i j}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
c_{1}^{(i j)} & c_{2}^{(i j)} & \cdot c_{N}^{(i j)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $c_{k}^{(i)}$ is the $k$ th residue resulting from the $L$ th order approximation of $S_{i j}$

## $C_{i j}$ is a vector of length $L$

## Constructing $B_{i j}$

For each real pole, we have an entry with a 1
$\begin{aligned} & \text { For each complex conjugate pole, } \\ & \text { pair we have two entries as: }\binom{2}{0}\end{aligned} \quad \boldsymbol{B}_{i i}=\left(\begin{array}{l}2 \\ 0 \\ \cdot \\ 1\end{array}\right)$
$B_{i i}$ is a vector of length $L$

## Constructing $D_{i j}$

$D_{i j}$ is a scalar which is the constant term from the Vector fitting approximation:

$$
S_{i j} \simeq d_{i j}+\sum_{k=1}^{L} \frac{c_{k}^{(i j)}}{s-a_{k}^{(i i)}}
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{D}_{i j}=d_{i j}
$$

## Constructing A

Matrix $A$ for the complete N -port is formed by combining the $A_{i i}$ 's in the diagonal.

$$
\boldsymbol{A}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
A_{11} & & & \\
& A_{22} & & \\
& & \bullet & \\
& & & A_{N N}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## $A$ is a $N L \times N L$ matrix

## Constructing C

Matrix $C$ for the complete N -port is formed by combining the $C_{i j}$ 's.

$$
\boldsymbol{C}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
C_{11} & C_{12} & \bullet & \\
C_{21} & C_{22} & & \\
\bullet & & \bullet & \\
& & & C_{N N}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## $C$ is a $N \times N L$ matrix

## Constructing B

Matrix B for the complete two-port is formed by combining the $B_{i i}$ 's.

$$
\boldsymbol{B}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
B_{11} & 0 & 0 & \\
0 & B_{22} & 0 & \\
\bullet & & \bullet & \\
0 & & & B_{N N}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## $B$ is a $N L \times N$ matrix

## Hamiltonian

Construct Hamiltonian Matrix $M$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\boldsymbol{M}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\boldsymbol{A}-\boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{R}^{-1} \boldsymbol{D}^{T} \boldsymbol{C} & -\boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{R}^{-1} \boldsymbol{B}^{T} \\
\boldsymbol{C}^{T} \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{C} & -\boldsymbol{A}^{T}+\boldsymbol{C}^{T} \boldsymbol{D} \boldsymbol{R}^{-1} \boldsymbol{B}^{T}
\end{array}\right] \\
\boldsymbol{R}=\left(\boldsymbol{D}^{T} \boldsymbol{D}-\boldsymbol{I}\right) \text { and } \boldsymbol{S}=\left(\boldsymbol{D} \boldsymbol{D}^{T}-\boldsymbol{I}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

The system is passive if $\boldsymbol{M}$ has no purely imaginary eigenvalues
If imaginary eigenvalues are found, they define the crossover frequencies $(j \omega)$ at which the system switches from passive to non-passive (or vice versa)
$\rightarrow$ gives frequency bands where passivity is violated

## Perturb Hamiltonian

Perturb the Hamiltonian Matrix $\boldsymbol{M}$ by perturbing the pole matrix $A$

$$
A \rightarrow A^{\prime}=A+\Delta A
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{M}+\boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{M}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\boldsymbol{A}+\boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{A}-\boldsymbol{B}\left(\boldsymbol{D}+\boldsymbol{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{C} & \boldsymbol{B}\left(\boldsymbol{D}+\boldsymbol{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{B}^{T} \\
-\boldsymbol{C}^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{D}+\boldsymbol{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{C} & -(\boldsymbol{A}+\boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{A})^{T}+\boldsymbol{C}^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{D}+\boldsymbol{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{B}^{T}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\Delta M=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\Delta A & 0 \\
0 & -(\Delta A)^{T}
\end{array}\right]
$$

This will lead to a change of the state matrix:

$$
A \rightarrow A^{\prime}=A+\Delta A
$$

## State-Space Representation

The State space representation of the transfer function in the time domain is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}(t) & =A x(t)+B u(t) \\
y(t) & =C x(t)+D u(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

The solution in discrete time is given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\boldsymbol{x}[k+1]=\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{d}} \boldsymbol{x}[k]+\boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{d}} \boldsymbol{u}[k] \\
\boldsymbol{y}[k]=\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{d}} \boldsymbol{x}[k]+\boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{d}} \boldsymbol{u}[k]
\end{gathered}
$$

## State-Space Representation

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{d}}=e^{\boldsymbol{A} T} & \boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{d}}=\left(\int_{0}^{T} e^{\boldsymbol{A} \tau} d \tau\right) \boldsymbol{B} \\
\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{d}}=\boldsymbol{C} & \boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{d}}=\boldsymbol{D}
\end{array}
$$

which can be calculated in a straightforward manner

When $y(t) \rightarrow b(t)$ is combined with the terminal conditions, the complete blackbox problem is solved.

## State-Space Passive Solution

If $\boldsymbol{M}^{\prime}$ is passive, then the state-space solution using $A^{\prime}$ will be passive.

$$
A \rightarrow A^{\prime}=A+\Delta A
$$

The passive solution in discrete time is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{x}[k+1]=\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{d}}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{x}[k]+\boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{d}} \boldsymbol{u}[k] \\
& \boldsymbol{y}[k]=\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{d}} \boldsymbol{x}[k]+\boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{d}} \boldsymbol{u}[k]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Size of Hamiltonian

$$
\boldsymbol{M}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\boldsymbol{A}-\boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{R}^{-1} \boldsymbol{D}^{T} \boldsymbol{C} & -\boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{R}^{-1} \boldsymbol{B}^{T} \\
\boldsymbol{C}^{T} \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{C} & -\boldsymbol{A}^{T}+\boldsymbol{C}^{T} \boldsymbol{D} \boldsymbol{R}^{-1} \boldsymbol{B}^{T}
\end{array}\right]
$$

M has dimension 2NL
For a 20-port circuit with VF order of $40, \mathrm{M}$ will be of dimension $2 \times 40 \times 20=1600$

The matrix $M$ has dimensions $1600 \times 1600$
Too Large!
$\rightarrow$ Eigen-analysis of this matrix is prohibitive

## Example

$$
\begin{array}{clrl}
\boldsymbol{A}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-10 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 100 \\
0 & -100 & -1
\end{array}\right] & \boldsymbol{B}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
2 \\
0
\end{array}\right] \\
\boldsymbol{C}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 0.1
\end{array}\right] & \boldsymbol{D}=\left[10^{-5}\right]
\end{array}
$$

This macromodel is nonpassive between 99.923 and 100.11 radians

## Example

$$
\boldsymbol{A}^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-10 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1-0.005 & 100 \\
0 & -100 & -1-0.005
\end{array}\right]
$$

The Hamiltonian $M^{\prime}$ associated with $A^{\prime}$ has no pure imaginary $\rightarrow$ System is passive

# Passivity Enforcement Techniques 

## $\Rightarrow$ Hamiltonian Perturbation Method ${ }^{(1)}$

$\Rightarrow$ Residue Perturbation Method ${ }^{(2)}$
(1) S. Grivet-Talocia, "Passivity enforcement via perturbation of Hamiltonian matrices," IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I, vol. 51, no. 9, pp. 1755-1769, Sep. 2004.
(2) D. Saraswat, R. Achar, and M. Nakhla, "A fast algorithm and practical considerations for passive macromodeling of measured/simulated data," IEEE Trans. Adv. Packag., vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 57-70, Feb. 2004.

## Benchmarks*

| Data file | No. of points | MOR with Vector Fitting |  |  |  |  | Fast Convolution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Order | Time (s) |  |  |  | Time (s) |
|  |  |  | VFIT ${ }^{\ddagger}$ | Passivity Enforcement | Recursive Convolution\# | TOTAL |  |
| Blackbox 1 | 501 | 10* | 0.14 | $0.01{ }^{\text {NV }}$ | 0.02 | 0.17 | 0.078 |
| Blackbox 2 | 802 | 20* | 0.41 | 5.47 | 0.03 | 5.91 | 0.110 |
| Blackbox 3 | 802 | 40* | 1.08 | $0.08{ }^{\mathrm{NV}}$ | 0.06 | 1.22 | 0.125 |
| Blackbox 4 | 802 | 60* | 2.25 | 1.89 | 0.09 | 4.23 | 0.125 |
|  |  | 100 | 3.17 | 5.34 | 0.16 | 8.67 |  |
| Blackbox 5 | 2002 | 50* | 4.97 | 0.09 NV | 0.28 | 5.34 | 0.328 |
| Blackbox 6 | 802 | 100* | 3.17 | $0.56{ }^{\text {NV }}$ | 0.16 | 3.89 | 0.109 |
| Blackbox 7 | 1601 | 100* | 24.59 | 28.33 | 1.31 | 53.23 | 0.438 |
|  |  | 120 | 31.16 | 27.64 | 1.58 | 60.38 |  |
| Blackbox 8 | 5096 | 220 | 250.08 | $25.77{ }^{\text {NV }}$ | 10.05 | 285.90 | 2.687 |
| Blackbox 9 | 1601 | 200* | 58.47 | 91.63 | 2.59 | 152.69 | 0.469 |
|  |  | 250 | 80.64 | 122.83 | 3.22 | 206.69 |  |
|  |  | 300 | 106.53 | $61.58{ }^{\text {NV }}$ | 3.86 | 171.97 |  |

* J. E. Schutt-Aine, P. Goh, Y. Mekonnen, Jilin Tan, F. Al-Hawari, Ping Liu; Wenliang Dai, "Comparative Study of Convolution and Order Reduction Techniques for Blackbox Macromodeling Using Scattering Parameters," IEEE Trans. Comp. Packaging. Manuf. Tech., vol. 1, pp. 1642-1650, October 2011.


## Passive VF Simulation Code

$\rightarrow$ Performs VF with common poles
$\rightarrow$ Assessment via Hamiltonian
$\rightarrow$ Enforcement: Residue Perturbation Method
$\Rightarrow$ Simulation: Recursive convolution

| Number of Ports | Order | CPU-Time |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4-Port | 20 | 1.7 secs |
| 6-port | 32 | 3.69 secs |
| 10 -port | 34 | 8.84 secs |
| 20 -port | 34 | 33 secs |
| 40 | 50 | 142 secs |
| 80 | 12 | 255 secs |

## Passive VF Code - Examples

Example 1
4 ports
order $=60$

Example 2
40 ports
order $=50$

## Passivity Enforced VF






4 ports, 2039 data points - VFIT order $=60$ (4 iterations $\sim 6-7 \mathrm{mins}$ ), Passivity enforcement: 58 Iterations ( $\sim 1$ hour)

## Passive Time-Domain Simulation



## 40-Port Passivity Enforced VF

Magnitude of $\mathrm{S}_{1-21}$


## 40-Port Passivity Enforced VF

Phase of $S_{1-21}$


## 40-Port Passivity Enforced VF

Phase of $\mathrm{S}_{21}$


## 40-Port Passivity Enforced VF

Magnitude of $\mathrm{S}_{21}$


## 40-Port Passivity Enforced VF

Magnitude of $S_{11}$


## 40-Port Passivity Enforced VF

Phase of $\mathrm{S}_{11}$


## 40-Port Time-Domain Simulation



## 40-Port Time-Domain Simulation




[^0]:    * B. Gustavsen and A. Semlyen, "Rational approximation of frequency responses by vector fitting," IEEE Trans. Power Del., vol. 14, no. 3, pp 1052-1061, Jul. 1999

