ECE 546 Lecture -19 X-Parameters

Spring 2024

Jose E. Schutt-Aine Electrical & Computer Engineering University of Illinois jesa@illinois.edu



References

[1] J J. Verspecht and D. E. Root, "Polyharmonic Distortion Modeling," IEEE Magazine, June 2006, pp. 44-57.

[2] D.E. Root, J. Verspecht, D. Sharrit, J. Wood, and A. Cognata, "Broad-band poly-harmonic distortion (PHD) behavioral models from fast automated simulations and large-signal vectorial network measurements,"IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech., vol. 53, no. 11, pp. 3656–3664, Nov. 2005.

[3] D.E. Root, J. Verspecht, J. Horn, J. Wood, and M. Marcu, "X Parameters", Cambridge University Press, 2013.



Scattering Parameters



$$B = SA \qquad B_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} S_{ij} A_{j} \qquad S_{ij} = \frac{B_{i}}{A_{j}} \Big|_{\substack{A_{k} = 0 \\ k \neq j \\ k = 1, \dots, N}}$$

"...most successful behavioral models..."



X Parameters: Motivation

S Parameters are a very powerful tool for signal integrity analysis.

Today, X parameters are primarily used to characterize power amplifiers and nonlinear devices. Not yet applied to signal integrity.



X Parameters

Purpose

Characterize nonlinear behavior of devices and systems

Advantages

- Mathematically robust framework
- Can handle nonlinearities
- Instrument exists (NVNA)
- Blackbox format -> vendor IP protection
- Matrix format -> easy incorporation in CAD tools
- X Parameters are a *superset* of S parameters



Challenges in HS Links

High speed Serial channels are pushing the current limits of simulation. Models/Simulator need to handle current challenges

- Need to accurately handle very high data rates
- Simulate large number of bits to achieve low BER
- Non-linear blocks with time variant systems
- Model TX/RX equalization
- All types of jitter: (random, deterministic, etc.)
- Crosstalk, loss, dispersion, attenuation, etc...
- Handle and manage vendor specific device settings
- Clock data recovery (CDR) circuits

These cannot be accurately modeled with S parameters



Motivation

Limitation: S Parameters only work for linear systems. Many networks and systems are nonlinear

Applications

> High-speed links, power amplifiers, mixed-signal circuits

• Existing Methods

Load pull techniques
IBIS models
Models are flawed and incomplete



PHD Modeling

- Polyharmonic distortion (PHD) modeling is a frequency-domain modeling technique
- PHD model defines X parameters which form a superset of S parameters
- To construct PHD model, DUT is stimulated by a set of harmonically related discrete tones
- In stimulus, fundamental tone is dominant and higher-order harmonics are smaller



- Signal is represented by a fundamental with harmonics
- Signals are periodic or narrowband modulated versions of a fundamental with harmonics
- Harmonic index: 0 for dc contribution, 1 for fundamental and 2 for second harmonic
- Power level, fundamental frequency can be varied to generate complete data for DUT



• Stimulus

>A-waves are incident and B-waves are scattered

• Reference System Z_C

Default value is 50 ohm

For a given port with voltage V and current I

$$A = \frac{V + Z_C I}{2}$$
$$B = \frac{V - Z_C I}{2}$$



 F_{pm} describes a time-invariant system \rightarrow delay in time domain corresponds to phase shift in frequency domain

$$B_{pm}e^{jm\theta} = F_{pm}\left(A_{11}e^{j\theta}, A_{12}e^{j2\theta}, \dots, A_{21}e^{j\theta}, A_{22}e^{j2\theta}, \dots\right)$$

For phase normalization, define

$$P = e^{+j\varphi(A_{11})}$$

$$B_{pm} = F_{pm} \left(\left| A_{11} \right|, A_{12} P^{-2}, A_{13} P^{-3}, \dots, A_{21} P^{-1}, A_{22} P^{-2}, \dots \right) P^{+m}$$







Cross-Frequency Phase for Commensurate Tones

- Defined as the phase of each pseudowave when the fundamental, $A_{1,1}$, has zero phase.
- $B_{2,3}$ can be related to $A_{2,2}$ in magnitude and phase.







 Shifting all of the inputs by the same time means that different harmonic components are shifted by different phases.



Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Defining Phase Reference

• Can use time-invariance to separate magnitude and phase dependence of one incident pseudowave.

$$B_{p,k} = F_{p,k}(A_{1,1}, A_{1,2}, A_{1,3}, ...) \qquad \text{using}$$

$$= F_{p,k}(|A_{1,1}|, A_{1,2}P^{-2}, A_{1,3}P^{-3}, ...)P^{k} \qquad P = \frac{A_{1,1}}{|A_{1,1}|} = e^{j\arg(A_{1,1})}$$
Shifting reference to zero phase of $A_{1,1}$.





- Still difficult to characterize this nonlinear term.
- If only one incident pseudowave, $A_{1,1}$, is large then the other smaller inputs can be linearized about the large-signal response of $F_{p,k}$ to only $A_{1,1}$.

*D. E. Root, et al., X-Parameters, 2013.





• Introduce multivariate complex function F_{pm} such that

$$B_{pm} = F_{pm} \left(A_{11}, A_{12}, \dots, A_{21}, A_{22}, \dots \right)$$





In many situations, there is only one dominant largesignal input component present. The harmonic frequency components are relatively small >> harmonic components can be superposed

Harmonic superposition principle is key to PHD model



Nonanalytical Mapping*

A nonlinearity described by:

$$f(x) = \alpha x + \gamma x^3$$

Signal is sum of main signal and additional perturbation term which is assumed to be small

$$x(t) = x_o(t) + \Delta x(t)$$

* see: J. Verspecht and D. E. Root, "Polyharmonic Distortion Modeling," IEEE Magazine, June 2006, pp. 44-57.



Consider the signal x(t), given by the sum of a real dc component and a small tone at frequency f

$$x_o(t) = A$$
A is real
$$\Delta x(t) = \frac{\delta e^{j\omega t} + \delta^* e^{-j\omega t}}{2}$$
 δ is a small complex number

A •

1

The linear response in $\Delta x(t)$ can be computed by

$$\Delta(y(t)) = f(x_o(t) + \Delta x(t)) - f(x_o(t))$$



$$\Delta(y(t)) \approx f'(x_o(t)) \Delta x(t)$$

For case 1, we evaluate the conductance nonlinearity $f'(x_o)$ at the fixed value $x_o = A$

$$f'(A) = \alpha + 3\gamma A^2$$

After substitution, we get

$$\Delta(y(t)) = \left[\alpha + 3\gamma A^2\right] \left(\frac{\delta e^{j\omega t} + \delta^* e^{-j\omega t}}{2}\right)$$

The complex coefficient of term proportional to $e^{j\omega t}$ is

$$\left[\frac{\alpha + 3\gamma A^2}{2}\right]\delta \quad \Rightarrow \text{Linear input-output relationship}$$



Now, $x_o(t)$ is a periodically time-varying signal:

$$x_o(t) = A\cos(\omega t)$$

$$\Delta x(t) = \frac{\delta e^{j\omega t} + \delta^* e^{-j\omega t}}{2}$$

Evaluating the conductance nonlinearity at $x_o(t)$ gives

$$f'(A\cos(\omega t)) = \alpha + 3\gamma A^2 \cos(\omega t)$$
$$= \left(\alpha + \frac{3\gamma A^2}{2}\right) + \frac{3\gamma A^2}{2} \cos(2\omega t)$$



We can evaluate $\Delta(y(t))$ to get:

$$\Delta(y(t)) = \left[\left(\alpha + \frac{3\gamma A^2}{2} \right) + \frac{3\gamma A^2}{2} \left(\frac{e^{2j\omega t} + e^{-2j\omega t}}{2} \right) \right]$$
$$\times \left(\frac{\delta e^{j\omega t} + \delta^* e^{-j\omega t}}{2} \right)$$

Now, we have terms proportional to $e^{j\omega t}$ and $e^{j3\omega t}$ and their complex conjugates. Restrict attention to complex term proportional to $e^{j\omega t}$



The complex coefficient of term proportional to $e^{j\omega t}$ is

$$\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{3\gamma A^2}{4}\right)\delta + \frac{3\gamma A^2}{4}\delta^*$$

We observe that the output phasor at frequency ω is not just proportional to the input phasor δ at frequency ω but has distinct contributions to both δ and δ^*

Linearization is not analytic

In Fourier domain, we have:

$$\frac{\Delta \hat{Y}(\omega)}{\Delta \hat{X}(\omega)} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{3\gamma A^2}{4}\right) \delta + \frac{3\gamma A^2}{4} e^{-2jPhase(\delta)}$$



PHD Derivation

$$B_{pm} = K_{pm} (|A_{11}|) P^{+m}$$

+ $\sum_{qn} G_{pq,mn} (|A_{11}|) P^{+m} \operatorname{Re}(A_{qn} P^{-n})$
+ $\sum_{qn} H_{pq,mn} (|A_{11}|) P^{+m} \operatorname{Im}(A_{qn} P^{-n})$

in which

$$K_{pm}(|A_{11}|) = F_{pm}(|A_{11}|, 0, ..., 0)$$

$$G_{pq,mn}(|A_{11}|) = \frac{\partial F_{pm}}{\partial \operatorname{Re}(A_{qn}P^{-n})}\Big|_{|A_{11}|, 0, ..., 0}$$

$$H_{pq,mn}(|A_{11}|) = \frac{\partial F_{pm}}{\partial \operatorname{Im}(A_{qn}P^{-n})}\Big|_{|A_{11}|, 0, ..., 0}$$

Spectral mapping is nonanalytic



PHD Derivation

$$\operatorname{Re}(A_{qn}P^{-n}) = \frac{A_{qn}P^{-n} + \operatorname{conj}(A_{qn}P^{-n})}{2}$$

$$\operatorname{Im}(A_{qn}P^{-n}) = \frac{A_{qn}P^{-n} - \operatorname{conj}(A_{qn}P^{-n})}{2j}$$

we get

Since

$$\begin{split} B_{pm} &= K_{pm} \left(\left| A_{11} \right| \right) P^{+m} \\ &+ \sum_{qn} G_{pq,mn} \left(\left| A_{11} \right| \right) P^{+m} \left(\frac{A_{qn} P^{-n} + conj \left(A_{qn} P^{-n} \right)}{2} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{qn} H_{pq,mn} \left(\left| A_{11} \right| \right) P^{+m} \left(\frac{A_{qn} P^{-n} - conj \left(A_{qn} P^{-n} \right)}{2j} \right) \end{split}$$



PHD Model

$$B_{pm} = X_{pm}^{(FB)} \left(|A_{11}| \right) P^{+m} + \sum_{qn} X_{pq,mn}^{(S)} \left(|A_{11}| \right) P^{+m-n} A_{qn}$$
$$+ \sum_{qn} X_{pq,mn}^{(T)} \left(|A_{11}| \right) P^{+m+n} conj \left(A_{qn} \right)$$

PHD Model Equation

$$X_{p1,m1}^{(S)}(|A_{11}|) = \frac{K_{pm}(|A_{11}|)}{|A_{11}|} \qquad \qquad X_{p1,m1}^{(T)}(|A_{11}|) = 0$$

$$\forall \{q, n\} \neq \{1, 1\} : X_{pq, mn}^{(S)} (|A_{11}|) = \frac{G_{pq, mn} (|A_{11}|) - jH_{pq, mn} (|A_{11}|)}{2}$$

$$\forall \{q, n\} \neq \{1, 1\} : X_{pq, mn}^{(T)} (|A_{11}|) = \frac{G_{pq, mn} (|A_{11}|) + jH_{pq, mn} (|A_{11}|)}{2}$$





ECE 546 – Jose Schutt-Aine

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

1-Tone X-Parameter Formalism*



- X-parameters of type FB, S, and T fully characterize the nonlinear function.
- Depend on
 - frequency
 - large signal magnitude, $|A_{1,1}|$
 - DC bias



*D. E. Root, et al., X-Parameters, 2013.





Each excitation will generate response with fundamental and all harmonics



X-Parameter Data File

TOP: FILE DESCRIPTION

! Created Fri Jul 30 07:44:48 2010

! Version = 2.0
! HB_MaxOrder = 25
! XParamMaxOrder = 12
! NumExtractedPorts = 3

! IDC_1=0 NumPts=1
! IDC_2=0 NumPts=1
! VDC_3=12 NumPts=1
! ZM_2_1=50 NumPts=1
! ZP_2_1=0 NumPts=1
! AN_1_1=100e-03(20.00000dBm) NumPts=1
! fund_1=[100 Hz->1 GHz] NumPts=4



X-Parameter Data File

MIDDLE: FORMAT DESCRIPTION

BEGIN XParamData

% fund 1(real) FV 1(real) FV 2(real) FI 3(real) FB 1 1(complex) % FB 1 2(complex) FB 1 3(complex) FB 1 4(complex) % FB 1 7(complex) FB 1 8(complex) FB 1 9(complex) % FB 1 12(complex) FB 2 1(complex) FB 2 2(complex) % FB 2 5(complex) FB 2 6(complex) FB 2 7(complex) % FB 2 10(complex) FB 2 11(complex) FB 2 12(complex) % T 1 1 1 1 (complex) S 1 2 1 1(complex) T 1 2 1 1(complex) % S 1 4 1 1(complex) T 1 4 1 1(complex) S 1 5 1 1(complex) % T_1_6_1_1(complex) S_1_7_1_1(complex) T 1 7 1 1(complex) % S_1_9_1_1(complex) T_1_9_1_1(complex) S_1_10_1_1(complex)) % T 1 11 1 1(complex) S 1 12 1 1(complex) T 1 12 1 1(complex) % T 2 1 1 1(complex) S 2 2 1 1(complex) T 2 2 1 1(complex) % S 2 4 1 1(complex) T 2 4 1 1(complex) S 2 5 1 1(complex % T 2 6 1 1(complex) S 2 7 1 1(complex) T 2 7 1 1(complex) % S_2_9_1_1(complex) T_2_9_1_1(complex) S 2 10 1 1(complex)



X-Parameter Data File

BOTTOM: DATA LISTING

100 0	0.90392	0.026398	0.316228	-5.41159e-09
-5.8503e-16	-4.19864e-10	-6.37642e-16	-1.6748e-10	-4.62314e-16
-1.25093e-15	-3.79264e-10	-7.91128e-16	-1.51261e-10	1.93535e-17
-1.38032e-16	-2.09262e-10	0.107122	-5.52212e-08	0.0739648
-0.0081633	-2.40901e-08	-0.00739395	-1.21199e-08	-0.000530768
0.000921039	-4.82427e-09	-0.00230559	1.07836e-08	-0.00288533
-1.20792e-15	-5.09916e-10	-6.95799e-15	-2.56672e-09	-3.25033e-15
-1.2948e-14	3.97284e-10	-7.08201e-15	-2.17127e-09	-1.43757e-14
3.39598e-15	3.66098e-10	-1.08395e-14	-4.05911e-09	1.67366e-14
2.76565e-14	5.60242e-09	2.69755e-14	-6.60802e-10	3.99868e-14

Remarks

- Data is measured or generated from a harmonic balance simulator
- Data file can be very large



X-Parameter Relationship

$$b_{ik} = D_{ik} \left(\left| a_{11} \right| \right) P^{k} + \sum_{(j,l) \neq (1,1)} \left[S_{ik,jl} \left(\left| a_{11} \right| \right) P^{k-l} a_{jl} + T_{ik,jl} \left(\left| a_{11} \right| \right) P^{k+l} a_{jl}^{*} \right]$$

P: *Phase of* a_{11}

 $D_{ik}: B - type X \ parameter$ $S_{ik,jl}: S - type X \ parameter$ $T_{ik,jl}: T - type X \ parameter$



X Parameters of CMOS





X Parameters of CMOS




Large-Signal Reflection



Microwave amplifier with fundamental frequency at 9.9 GHz



Compression and AM-PM



Microwave amplifier with fundamental frequency at 9.9 GHz



T_{22,11}



Microwave amplifier with fundamental frequency at 9.9 GHz



Special Terms

• **T-Type X Parameter**

- > Spectral mapping is non-analytical
- **>** Real and imaginary parts in FD are treated differently
- **>** Even and odd parts in TD are treated differently
- > T involves non-causal component of signal
- Phase Term P
 - > P is phase of large-signal excitation (a_{11})
 - **Contributions to B waves will depend on** *P*
 - > In measurements, system must be calibrated for phase



Notation Change

Define

$$S_{ik,jl}(|a_{11}|) = X_{ik,jl}^{(S)}(|A_{11}|)$$

$$T_{ik,jl}(|a_{11}|) = X_{ik,jl}^{(T)}(|A_{11}|)$$

$$D_{ik}\left(|a_{11}|\right) = X_{ik}^{(FB)}\left(|a_{11}|\right)$$



Handling Phase Term

$$b_{ik} = D_{ik} \left(|a_{11}| \right) P^{k} + \sum_{(j,l) \neq (1,1)} \left[S_{ik,jl} \left(|a_{11}| \right) P^{k-l} a_{jl} + T_{ik,jl} \left(|a_{11}| \right) P^{k+l} a_{jl}^{*} \right]$$

Multiply through by P^{-k}

$$b_{ik}P^{-k} = D_{ik}\left(|a_{11}|\right) + \sum_{(j,l)\neq(1,1)} \left[S_{ik,jl}\left(|a_{11}|\right)P^{-l}a_{jl} + T_{ik,jl}\left(|a_{11}|\right)P^{+l}a_{jl}^{*}\right]$$

 $P = e^{j\phi_{11}}$ where ϕ_{11} is the phase of a_{11}

we can always express the relationship in terms of modified power wave variables

$$\overline{b}_{ik} = D_{ik} \left(\left| a_{11} \right| \right) + \sum_{(j,l) \neq (1,1)} \left[S_{ik,jl} \left(\left| a_{11} \right| \right) \overline{a}_{jl} + T_{ik,jl} \left(\left| a_{11} \right| \right) \overline{a}_{jl}^* \right]$$

where
$$\overline{b}_{ik} = b_{ik}P^{-k}$$
 and $\overline{a}_{ik} = a_{ik}P^{-k}$



Handling R&I Components

Because of non-analytical nature of spectral mapping, real and imaginary component interactions must be accounted for separately.

we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_r \\ b_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X_{rr} & X_{ri} \\ X_{ir} & X_{ii} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_r \\ a_i \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$X_{rr} = (S_r + T_r), \ X_{ri} = -(S_i - T_i)$$
$$X_{ir} = (S_i + T_i), \ X_{ii} = (S_r - T_r)$$



Handling Phase Term

Phase term can be accounted for by applying following transformations

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_r \\ b_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X_{rr} & X_{ri} \\ X_{ir} & X_{ii} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_r \\ a_i \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_b & -\sin \theta_b \\ -\sin \theta_b & \cos \theta_b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b'_r \\ b'_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X_{rr} & X_{ri} \\ X_{ir} & X_{ii} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_a & -\sin \theta_a \\ -\sin \theta_a & \cos \theta_a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a'_r \\ a'_i \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\textbf{in which} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} b_r \\ b_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_b & -\sin \theta_b \\ -\sin \theta_b & \cos \theta_b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b'_r \\ b'_i \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_r \\ a_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_a & -\sin \theta_a \\ -\sin \theta_a & \cos \theta_a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a'_r \\ a'_i \end{pmatrix}$$



X Matrix Construction

Separate real and imaginary components
 Account for real-imaginary interactions
 Account for harmonic-to-harmonic contributions
 Account for harmonic-to-DC contributions

Matrix size is $2mn \times 2mn$ *m*: number of harmonics *n*: number of ports



Matrix Formulation*





Matrix Formulation*





X Matrix for 2-Port System* (2 harmonics)

	$(X_{11rr}^{(11)})$	$X_{11ri}^{(11)}$	$X_{11rr}^{(12)}$	$X_{11ri}^{(12)}$	$X_{12rr}^{(11)}$	$X_{12ri}^{(11)}$	$X_{12rr}^{(12)}$	$X_{12ri}^{(12)}$	
$\mathbf{X} =$	$X_{11ir}^{(11)}$	$X_{11ii}^{(11)}$	$X_{11ir}^{(12)}$	$X_{11ii}^{(12)}$	$X_{12ir}^{(11)}$	$X_{12ii}^{(11)}$	$X_{12ir}^{(12)}$	$X_{12ii}^{(12)}$	
	$X_{11rr}^{(21)}$	$X_{11ri}^{(21)}$	$X_{11rr}^{(22)}$	$X_{11ri}^{(22)}$	$X_{12rr}^{(21)}$	$X_{12ri}^{(21)}$	$X_{12rr}^{(21)}$	$X_{12ri}^{(21)}$	
	$X_{11ir}^{(21)}$	$X^{(21)}_{11ii}$	$X_{11ir}^{(22)}$	$X_{11ii}^{(22)}$	$X_{12ir}^{(21)}$	$X_{12ii}^{(21)}$	$X_{12ir}^{(22)}$	$X_{12ii}^{(22)}$	
	$X_{21rr}^{(11)}$	$X_{21ri}^{(11)}$	$X_{21rr}^{(12)}$	$X_{21ri}^{(12)}$	$X_{22rr}^{(11)}$	$X_{22ri}^{(11)}$	$X_{22rr}^{(12)}$	$X_{22ri}^{(12)}$	
	$X_{21ir}^{(11)}$	$X_{21ii}^{(11)}$	$X_{21ir}^{(12)}$	$X_{21ii}^{(12)}$	$X_{22ir}^{(11)}$	$X_{22ii}^{(11)}$	$X_{22ir}^{(12)}$	$X_{22ii}^{(12)}$	
	$X_{21rr}^{(21)}$	$X_{21ri}^{(21)}$	$X_{21rr}^{(22)}$	$X_{21ri}^{(22)}$	$X^{(21)}_{22rr}$	$X^{(21)}_{22ri}$	$X_{22rr}^{(22)}$	$X^{(22)}_{22ri}$	
	$X_{21ir}^{(21)}$	$X_{21ii}^{(21)}$	$X_{21ir}^{(22)}$	$X_{21ii}^{(22)}$	$X_{22ir}^{(21)}$	$X^{(21)}_{22ii}$	$X^{(22)}_{22ir}$	$X^{(22)}_{22ii}$)	
(no al matrix)									

(real matrix)

For instance, $X^{(12)}_{21ri}$ is the contribution to the real part of the 1^{st} harmonic of the wave scattered at port 2 due to the imaginary part of the 2^{nd} harmonic of the wave incident port in port 1. *DC term not included



Polyharmonic Impedance

Linear Impedance	Polyharmonic Impedance	Nonlinear Impedance
 Time invariant Linear Scalar 	 Time invariant Linear Matrix 	 Time variant Nonlinear Function
V = ZI FD & TD	[V(f)] = [Z(f)][I(f)]FD red	V(t) = Z(I(t))

Model assumes that nonlinear effects are mild and are captured via harmonic superposition.



Polyharmonic Impedance

4-harmonic system

in frequency domain:

 $\begin{bmatrix} V^{(1)} \\ V^{(2)} \\ V^{(3)} \\ V^{(4)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Z^{(11)} & Z^{(12)} & Z^{(13)} & Z^{(14)} \\ Z^{(21)} & Z^{(22)} & Z^{(23)} & Z^{(24)} \\ Z^{(31)} & Z^{(32)} & Z^{(33)} & Z^{(34)} \\ Z^{(41)} & Z^{(42)} & Z^{(43)} & Z^{(44)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I^{(1)} \\ I^{(2)} \\ I^{(3)} \\ I^{(4)} \end{bmatrix}$

in time domain: $v(t) = v^{(1)}(t) + v^{(2)}(t) + v^{(3)}(t) + v^{(4)}(t)$ $i(t) = i^{(1)}(t) + i^{(2)}(t) + i^{(3)}(t) + i^{(4)}(t)$



Polyharmonic Impedance

- \mathbf{Z}_{0} : Reference impedance matrix
- **Z** : Polyharmonic impedance matrix
- V : Voltage vector
- **I** : Current vector

$$Z = (1 + X)(1 - X)^{-1} Z_{0}$$

Describes interactions between harmonic components of voltage and current.

 $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{I}$



Network Formulation









CMOS Driver/Receiver Channel



- Generate X parameters for composite system
- Power level: 20 dBm, frequency: 1 GHz
- Construct X matrix
- Combine with terminations for simulation



CMOS Driver/Receiver - Harmonics









Validation







Cascading S-Parameter Blocks*



behavior at internal node.

*G. Gonzalez, *Microwave Transistor Amplifiers: Analysis and Design*, 2nd ed. Prentice-Hall, 1997.



Cascading X-Parameter Blocks*



*D. E. Root, et al., X-Parameters, 2013.



Cascading X Parameters

GOAL: Simulate complete channel by combining Xparameter blocks from different sources into a single composite X matrix.



harmonic balance simulator environment.



A linear causal system with memory can be described by the convolution representation

$$y(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(\sigma) x(t-\sigma) d\sigma$$

where x(t) is the input, y(t) is the output, and h(t) the impulse response of the system.

A nonlinear system without memory can be described with a Taylor series as:

$$y(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \left[x(t) \right]^n$$

where x(t) is the input and y(t) is the output. The an are Taylor series coefficients.



A Volterra series combines the above two representations to describe a nonlinear system with memory

$$y(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du_{1} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du_{n} g_{n} (u_{1}, \dots, u_{n}) \prod_{r=1}^{n} x(t-u_{r})$$

$$y(t) = \frac{1}{1!} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du_{1} g_{1}(u_{1}) x(t-u_{1}) \longleftarrow \text{ impulse response}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2!} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du_{1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du_{2} g_{2}(u_{1}, u_{2}) x(t-u_{1}) x(t-u_{2}) \longleftarrow \text{ higher-order impulse responses}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2!} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du_{1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du_{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du_{3} g_{3}(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}) x(t-u_{1}) x(t-u_{2}) g_{2}(u_{1}, u_{2}) x(t-u_{1}) x(t-u_{3}) x(t-u_{3})$$

where x(t) is the input and y(t) is the output and the $g_n(u_1, ..., u_n)$ are called the *Volterra kernels*



University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Application to X parameters: Take order = 2

$$y(t) = \frac{1}{1!} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} du_1 g_1(u_1) x(t-u_1) + \frac{1}{2!} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} du_1 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} du_2 g_2(u_1, u_2) x(t-u_1) x(t-u_2)$$

where the input x(t) is given by

$$x(t) = \exp(j\omega_1 t) + \exp(j\omega_2 t)$$

This can be written as

$$y(t) = \frac{1}{1!} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} du_1 g_1(u_1) \left[e^{j\omega_1(t-u_1)} + e^{j\omega_2(t-u_1)} \right]$$

+ $\frac{1}{2!} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} du_1 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} du_2 g_2(u_1, u_2) \left[e^{j\omega_1(t-u_1)} + e^{j\omega_2(t-u_1)} \right] \left[e^{j\omega_1(t-u_2)} + e^{j\omega_2(t-u_2)} \right]$



Define $T_1 = e^{j\omega_1 t}, \quad T_2 = e^{j\omega_2 t}$ $U_{11} = e^{-j\omega_1 u_1}$ $U_{12} = e^{-j\omega_1 u_2}$ $U_{22} = e^{-j\omega_2 u_2}$ $U_{21} = e^{-j\omega_2 u_1}$

This gives

$$y(t) = T_1 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} du_1 g_1(u_1) U_{11} + T_2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} du_1 g_1(u_1) U_{21}$$

$$+\frac{1}{2!}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} du_{1}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} du_{2}g_{2}(u_{1},u_{2})[T_{1}U_{11}+T_{2}U_{21}][T_{1}U_{12}+T_{2}U_{22}]$$

or

$$y(t) = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5 + I_6$$



in which

 $-\infty$

$$I_{1} = T_{1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} du_{1}g_{1}(u_{1})U_{11} \rightarrow I_{1} = T_{1}G_{1}(f_{1})$$
$$I_{2} = T_{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} du_{1}g_{1}(u_{1})U_{21} \rightarrow I_{2} = T_{2}G_{1}(f_{2})$$

 $G_1(f)$ is the Fourier transform of $g_1(u)$ evaluated at f



$$I_{3} = T_{1}^{2} \frac{1}{2!} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} du_{1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} du_{2} g_{2} (u_{1}, u_{2}) U_{11} U_{12} = \frac{1}{2} T_{1}^{2} G_{2} (f_{1}, f_{1})$$

$$I_{4} = \frac{1}{2!} T_{1} T_{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} du_{1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} du_{2} g_{2} (u_{1}, u_{2}) U_{11} U_{22} = \frac{1}{2} T_{1} T_{2} G_{2} (f_{1}, f_{2})$$

$$I_{5} = \frac{1}{2!} T_{2} T_{1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} du_{1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} du_{2} g_{2} (u_{1}, u_{2}) U_{21} U_{12} = \frac{1}{2} T_{2} T_{1} G_{2} (f_{2}, f_{1})$$

$$I_{6} = \frac{1}{2!} T_{2}^{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} du_{1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} du_{2} g_{2} (u_{1}, u_{2}) U_{21} U_{22} = \frac{1}{2} T_{2}^{2} G_{2} (f_{2}, f_{2})$$

 $G_2(f_p, f_2)$ is the double Fourier transform of g(u, v) evaluated at (f_p, f_2)

Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

ECE ILLINOIS

So, $G_1(f)$ is the Fourier transform of $g_1(u)$ evaluated at f and $G_2(f_1, f_2)$ is the double Fourier transform of g(u, v) evaluated at (f_p, f_2)

We can also express y(t) as:

$$y(t) = y_1(t) + y_2(t)$$

in which

$$y_1(t) = T_1G_1(f_1) + T_2G_1(f_2)$$

and

$$y_{2}(t) = \frac{1}{2!} \Big[T_{1}^{2}G_{2}(f_{1}, f_{1}) + T_{1}T_{2}G_{2}(f_{1}, f_{2}) + T_{1}T_{2}G_{2}(f_{2}, f_{1}) + T_{2}^{2}G_{2}(f_{2}, f_{2}) \Big]$$



If we take into account the respective amplitudes of the tone, we have

$$x(t) = A_1 \exp(j\omega_1 t) + A_2 \exp(j\omega_2 t)$$

We can make the transformation

$$T_{1} \rightarrow A_{1}T_{1} \text{ and } T_{2} \rightarrow A_{2}T_{2}$$

$$y(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} du_{1}g_{1}(u_{1}) \Big[A_{1}e^{j\omega_{1}(t-u_{1})} + A_{2}e^{j\omega_{2}(t-u_{1})} \Big]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2!} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} du_{1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} du_{2}g_{2}(u_{1}, u_{2}) \Big[A_{1}e^{j\omega_{1}(t-u_{1})} + A_{2}e^{j\omega_{2}(t-u_{1})} \Big]$$

$$\times \Big[A_{1}e^{j\omega_{1}(t-u_{2})} + A_{2}e^{j\omega_{2}(t-u_{2})} \Big]$$

$$y_{1}(t) = \underbrace{A_{1}T_{1}G_{1}(f_{1})}_{A-Term = H_{A}} + \underbrace{A_{2}T_{2}G_{1}(f_{2})}_{B-Term = H_{B}}$$

Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

$$\begin{aligned} & \underbrace{Volterra Series}_{y_{2}(t) = \frac{1}{2!} \left[\underbrace{A_{1}^{2} T_{1}^{2} G_{2}(f_{1}, f_{1})}_{C-Term = H_{C}} + \underbrace{A_{1} T_{1} A_{2} T_{2} G_{2}(f_{1}, f_{2}) + A_{1} T_{1} A_{2} T_{2} G_{2}(f_{2}, f_{1})}_{D-Term = H_{D}} + \underbrace{A_{2}^{2} T_{2}^{2} G_{2}(f_{2}, f_{2})}_{E-Term = H_{E}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

If we choose $f_2 = kf_p$, then $T_1 \rightarrow f_1$ and $T_2 \rightarrow kf_p$. In general, $\omega_2 = k\omega_1$ so that if $H_A = A$ -Term contains terms in f_1 $H_B = B$ -Term contains terms in kf_1 $H_C = C$ -Term contains terms in $2f_1$ $H_D = D$ -Term contains terms in $2kf_1$ $H_E = E$ -Term contains terms in $(k+1)f_1$



- First determine the X parameters of the system
- Next, provide excitation *a(t)*

$$a(t) = A_1 \exp(j\omega_1 t) + A_2 \exp(j\omega_2 t)$$

- Next, calculate b in phasor domain using X parameters

b=Xa

- For each port, the scattered wave will include contributions from all harmonics

$$b_p = H_A + H_B + H_C + H_D + H_E$$



Finally, a relationship can be obtained to extract Volterra kernel Fourier transforms

m:
$$\rightarrow G_1(f_1) = \frac{H_A}{A_1}$$
 B-Term: $\rightarrow G_1(f_2) = \frac{H_B}{A_2}$

C-Term:
$$\rightarrow G_2(f_1, f_1) = \frac{2H_C}{A_1^2}$$

D-Term:
$$\rightarrow G_2(f_2, f_2) = \frac{2H_D}{A_2^2}$$

E-Term:
$$\rightarrow G_2(f_1, f_2) = \frac{H_E}{A_1 A_2}$$



TABLE OF VOLTERRA KERNEL TRANSFORMS

Term	Wave	Coefficient	Constant	index	k=1	k = 2	k = 3	k = 3
A-Term	T ₁	G ₁ (f ₁)	A ₁	\mathbf{f}_1	\mathbf{f}_1	\mathbf{f}_1	\mathbf{f}_1	\mathbf{f}_1
B-Term	T_2	G ₁ (f ₂)	A_2	k f ₁	\mathbf{f}_1	2 f ₁	3 f ₁	4 f ₁
C-Term	T_{1}^{2}	$G_2(f_1, f_1)$	$A_1^2/2!$	2 f ₁	2 f ₁	2 f ₁	2 f ₁	2 f ₁
D-Term	T_{2}^{2}	$G_2(f_2, f_2)$	$A_2^2/2!$	2k f ₁	2 f ₁	4 f ₁	6 f ₁	8 f ₁
E-Term	T_1T_2	$G_2(f_1, f_2)$	A_1A_2	(k+1) f ₁	2 f ₁	3 f ₁	4 f ₁	5 f ₁



Nonlinear Vector Network Analyzer (NVNA)



NVNA instruments will gradually replace all VNAs


Nonlinear Vector Network Analyzer (NVNA)*



*L. Betts, "X-Parameters and NVNA...," May 9, 2009.



ECE 546 – Jose Schutt-Aine