## ECE 546 Lecture - 22 Timing & Signaling

Spring 2024

Jose E. Schutt-Aine Electrical & Computer Engineering University of Illinois jesa@illinois.edu



## Semiconductor Technology Trends

	1997	2003	2006	2012
Chip size (mm <sup>2</sup> )	300	430	520	750
Number of transistors (million)	11	76	200	1400
Interconnect width (nm)	200	100	70	35
Total interconnect length (km)	2.16	2.84	5.14	24



## The Interconnect Bottleneck

<b>Technology</b> <b>Generation</b>	<b>MOSFET Intrinsic</b> <b>Switching Delay</b>	Response Time
<b>1.0</b> μm	~ 10 ps	~1 <b>ps</b>
<b>0.01 μm</b>	~1 ps	~ 100 ps



## The Interconnect Bottleneck



Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

## **Chip-Level Interconnect Delay**





#### Interconnect

 Total interconnect length (m/cm<sup>2</sup>) – active wiring only, excluding global levels will increases:

Year	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Total Length	579	688	907	1002	1117	1401	1559

- Interconnect power dissipation is more than 50% of the total dynamic power consumption in 130nm and will become dominant in future technology nodes
- Interconnect centric design flows have been adopted to reduce the length of the critical signal path



## **Integrated Circuit Wiring**





Source: M. Bohr and Y. El-Mansy - *IEEE TED Vol. 4, March 1998* 

> Vertical parallel-plate capacitance Vertical parallel-plate capacitance (min width) Vertical fringing capacitance (each side) Horizontal coupling capacitance (each side)

0.05 fF/μm<sup>2</sup> 0.03 fF/μm 0.01 fF/μm 0.03



### **Metallic Conductors**







#### ECE 546 – Jose Schutt-Aine

Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign



**Electrical and Computer Engineering** University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

## Signal Degradation







## **Modeling Interconnections**





### WAVE PROPAGATION



Wavelength :  $\lambda$ 

# $\lambda = \frac{\text{propagation velocity}}{\text{frequency}}$



## Why Transmission Lines ?

**In Free Space** 

At 10 KHz :  $\lambda = 30$  km

At 10 GHz :  $\lambda = 3$  cm

Transmission line behavior is prevalent when the structural dimensions of the circuits are comparable to the wavelength.



## **Transmission Line Model**

#### Let d be the largest dimension of a circuit



If d  $\ll \lambda$ , a lumped model for the circuit can be used



## **Transmission Line Model**



If  $d \approx \lambda$ , or  $d > \lambda$  then use transmission line model









### **RC** Network



A is the steady-state gain of the network;  $A = \frac{v_o(f)}{v_i(f)}$  $|A| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + (f/f_2)^2}}$   $f_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi RC}$ 

The gain falls to 0.707 of its low-frequency value at the frequency f<sub>2</sub>. f<sub>2</sub> is the *upper 3-dB frequency* or the 3-dB bandwidth of the RC network.



### **RC Network**



 $v_o = V \left( 1 - e^{-t/RC} \right)$ 





### **RC Network**



Rise time :  $t_r = t_{90\%} - t_{10\%}$ 

$$t_r = 2.2RC = \frac{2.2}{2\pi f_2} = \frac{0.35}{f_2}$$

**<u>Observation</u>: Simulating a 1-ns rise-time step** requires a 3-dB bandwidth in the order of 350 MHz.

**<u>Rule of thumb</u>: A 1-ns pulse requires a circuit with a 3-dB bandwidth of the order of 2 GHz.</u>** 



### **Frequency Dependence of Lumped Circuit Models**

At higher frequencies, a lumped circuit model is no longer accurate for interconnects and one must use a distributed model. Transition frequency depends on the dimensions and relative magnitude of the interconnect parameters.

$$f \approx \frac{0.3 \cdot 10^9}{10 d\sqrt{\epsilon_r}} \quad t_r \approx \frac{0.35}{f}$$



### **Lumped Circuit or Transmission Line?**

A) Determine frequency or bandwidth of the signal

-<u>Microwave</u>: **f** = operating frequency

-Digital: 
$$f = \frac{0.35}{rise time}$$

B) Determine propagation velocity in medium, v, next calculate wavelength  $\lambda = \frac{V}{L}$ 



Lumped Circuit or Transmission Line? C) Compare wavelength with dimensions (feature size) d.

**<u>Case 1</u>**: If λ >> d use lumped circuit equivalent Total inductance = L x length Total capacitance = C x length

**<u>Case 2</u>**: If  $\lambda \approx 10d$  or  $\lambda < 10d$ , use transmission-line model



## **Frequency Dependence of Lumped Circuit Models**

	Dimension	Frequency	<b>Rise time</b>
Printed circuit line	10 in	>55 MHz	<7 ns
(epoxy, glass)			
Package lead frame	1 in	>400 MHz	<0.9 ns
(ceramic)			
VLSI interconnection*	100 µm	>8 GHz	<50 ps
(silicon)			

\* Using RC criterion for distributed effect

Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign 24

## **Connector Design**

- Minimize physical length of connector pins.
- Maximize the ratio of power and ground pins to the signal pins. If possible these ratios should be < 1.</li>
- Place each signal pin as close as possible to a current return pin.
- Place power pins adjacent to ground pins.



#### **8-Bit Connector Pin-Out Options**



inferior



improved



More improved



Optimal



## **Capacitive Crosstalk and Delay**

Description	Capacitance	Units
Vertical parallel-plate capacitance	0.05	fF/µm²
Vertical parallel-plate capacitance (minimum width)	0.03	fF/µm
Vertical fringing capacitance (each side)	0.01	fF/µm
Horizontal coupling capacitance (each side)	0.03	fF/µm

A chip has a 2-mm-long data bus of  $0.6-\mu m$  wires on  $1.2\mu m$  centers. Use the table values. Assume that the perpendicular wires on adjacent layers are all grounded. Each driver can be modeled as a voltage source in series with a  $1-k\Omega$  resistor. All lines switch simultaneously to random states. What is the worst-case maximum and minimum delay of a line.



## **Capacitive Crosstalk and Delay**



A chip has a 2-mm-long data bus of  $0.6-\mu m$  wires on  $1.2\mu m$  centers. Use the table values. Assume that the perpendicular wires on adjacent layers are all grounded. Each driver can be modeled as a voltage source in series with a  $1-k\Omega$  resistor. All lines switch simultaneously to random states. What is the worst-case maximum and minimum delay of a line.



## **Capacitive Crosstalk and Delay**



The resistance of the wires are much smaller than the  $1k\Omega$  of the drivers and thus can be ignored

Worst case condition which will cause maximum delay is when the effective capacitance is maximum. If the 2 side aggressor lines transition in the opposite direction of the main driver on the victim line, this will create the most amount of capacitance (Miller effect)

#### **Typical TL Parameters and Coupling Coefficients**

Dir	mensions Electrical Parameters Coupling C			Coefficie	nts						
W	S	H	С	C <sub>m</sub>	L	М	Z	k <sub>ex</sub>	k <sub>lx</sub>	k <sub>fx</sub>	k <sub>rx</sub>
8	8	6	88	6.4	355	57.5	63	0.068	0.162	-0.047	0.058
8	8	3	137	3.0	240	18.5	42	0.021	0.077	-0.028	0.025
8	16	6	87	2.0	356	28.7	64	0.023	0.081	-0.029	0.026
8	16	3	136	1.0	240	8.2	42	0.007	0.034	-0.013	0.010
8	8	6*	148	6.6	302	13.4	45	0.043	0.044	0.000	0.022
8	8	3*	233	1.2	191	1.0	29	0.005	0.005	0.000	0.003
8	16	6*	147	1.3	302	2.6	45	0.008	0.009	0.000	0.004
8	16	3*	233	0.3	191	0.2	29	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.001



## Example

Full-swing (3.3V) CMOS signal with a fast 500 ps rise time next to a low-swing (300 mV) signal for a 10 cm run of microstrip line. The lines are each 8 mils wide spaced 6 mils above a ground plane and spaced 8 mils from one another (see previous Table). Is the noise induced in the low-swing line a

concern?

Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign



- From table, we get  $k_{fx}$ =-0.047,  $k_{rx}$ =0.058

Far end crosstalk

$$v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{LC}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{94.4 \, pF \, / \, m \times 355 nH \, / \, m}} = 1.73 \times 10^8 \, \text{m/s} \qquad t_x = \frac{10 cm}{1.73 \times 10^8} = 0.578 \, ns$$

## Example

In worst case, near- and far-end crosstalk will be added → add absolute values

$$V_{xtalk} = k_{fx} \times t_x \times \frac{\Delta V_{aggressor}}{\Delta t} + \Delta V_{aggressor} \times k_{rx} \times k_r$$
$$= 0.047 \times 0.578 ns \times \frac{3.3}{500 \, ps} + 3.3 \times 0.058 \times 1 = 0.37 \, V$$

0.37 V is bigger than 300 mV/2=150 mV  $\rightarrow$  This will cause problem to the system

Victim line also produces crosstalk on the agressor. However, only second order effect is considered.



## **Signaling Conventions**

- A good signaling convention isolates a signal from noise to provide noise immunity.
- Most signaling conventions in common use are based on standards and are actually quite poor.
- Many modern systems define their own signaling conventions rather than employ the standards



## **Transmission Systems**



Low-swing current-mode transmission system



## **Transmission Systems**

#### CMOS

#### LSC

Signaling	Voltage mode: 0=GND, 1=V <sub>dd</sub>	Current mode: 0=-3.3 mA 1=+3.3 mA
Reference	Power supply: V <sub>r</sub> ~V <sub>dd</sub> /2	Self-centered: I <sub>r</sub> =0 mA
Termination	Series terminated in output impedance of driver	Parallel-terminated at receiver with $R_T$ within 10% of $Z_0$
Signal energy	1.3 nJ	22 pJ
Power dissipation	130 mW	11mW
Noise immunity	<b>1.2:1 actual:required signal swing (with LSC receiver)</b>	3.6:1
Delay	18 ns	6 ns



## **Transmission Systems**

	CMOS (V)	LSC (mV)		CMOS (mV)	LSC (mV)
V <sub>OH</sub>	0.3	165	<b>Receiver sensitivity</b>	300	10
V <sub>OL</sub>	0.0	-165	Receiver offset	250	10
V <sub>IH</sub>	2.2	10	Power supply noise	300	3
V <sub>IL</sub>	1.1	-10	Total noise (swing-independent)	850	23
V <sub>MH</sub>	1.1	155			
V <sub>ML</sub>	1.1	155			

	CMOS LS	SC
	(%) $(%)$	
Self-induced power supply noise (K <sub>in</sub> )	10 (	)
Crosstalk from other signals (K <sub>xt</sub> )	250 1	10
<b>Reflections of the same signal</b>	large(>5) 5	5
from previous clock cycles (K <sub>r</sub> )		
Transmitter offset (K <sub>to</sub> )	10 1	10
Total proportional noise fraction ( $K_N$ )	>35	25


### CMOS vs LSC

- With the worst-case combinations of noise sources the CMOS signaling system will fail
- The LSC system has 3.6 times the signal swing required.
- The transmission delay of the LSC system is the one-way delay of the transmission line.
- The CMOS driver must wait for the line to ring up to the full voltage.



### CMOS vs LSC

- Basic CMOS system is most commonly used and yet is far from optimal
- Large energy signal is used where it is not needed
- Transmitted signal not isolated from supply noise
- Receiver uses reference that changes significantly with process variations



University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

### Signaling Modes for Transmission Lines



- Signal return impedances  $Z_{RT}$  and  $Z_{RR}$
- Coupling to local power supply  $\mathbf{Z}_{GT}$  and  $\mathbf{Z}_{GR}$
- Introduce noise  $V_N$
- Sections can be separated if TL is terminated into match impedance



# **Transmitter Signaling Parameters**

• Output impedance, R<sub>o</sub>

- Coupling between signal and power supply  $\boldsymbol{Z}_{\text{GT}}$
- Polarity of signal
- Amplitude of signal



### **Current-Mode Transmission**



Provides isolation of both the signal and current return from the local power supplies

- Large Z<sub>GT</sub>



### **Voltage-Mode Transmission**



Makes a difference in:

- Signal return crosstalk
- Single power supply noise



# **Current- & Voltage-Mode Transmission**

**Current-Mode Transmission** 



**Voltage-Mode Transmission** 



#### Output impedance >> Z<sub>o</sub>

#### Output impedance << Z<sub>o</sub>



# Transmitter Signal-Return Crosstalk

- A signal return path is typically shared among a group of N signals (typically 2 to 8) to reduce cost.
- Sharing occurs at both ends of line.
- $Z_{RT}$  approximates the return path impedance at the transmitter end.
- The return current from all N transmission lines passes through impedance  $Z_{RT}$ .
- The current  $I_{T1} = V_{T1}/Z_o$  sees the shared return impedance in parallel with the series combination of the line and output impedances from other signals.
- The total return impedance is  $Z_X$ .



### **Transmitter Signal Return Crosstalk**



$$Z_{X} = Z_{RT} \parallel \left(\frac{R_{o} + Z_{o}}{N - 1}\right) = \frac{Z_{RT} \left(R_{o} + Z_{o}\right)}{\left(N - 1\right) Z_{RT} + R_{o} + Z_{o}}$$

Current through each of the N-1 line impedance is:

$$I_{X} = I_{T1} \left( \frac{Z_{X}}{R_{O} + Z_{O}} \right) = I_{T1} \left[ \frac{Z_{RT}}{(N-1)Z_{RT} + R_{O} + Z_{O}} \right]$$

Induced voltage across line impedance is:

$$V_{X} = I_{X} Z_{O} = V_{T1} \left[ \frac{Z_{RT}}{(N-1)Z_{RT} + R_{O} + Z_{O}} \right]$$

Considering worst case where N-1 signals switch simultaneously

$$K_{XRT} = \frac{(N-1)V_X}{V_{T1}} = \frac{(N-1)Z_{RT}}{(N-1)Z_{RT} + R_o + Z_o} \le \frac{(N-1)Z_{RT}}{R_o + Z_o}$$

With voltage-mode signaling,  $R_0=0$ , the transmitter signal return crosstalk is a maximum. For current-mode signaling,  $R_0$  is infinite and this form of crosstalk is eliminated.

### **Receiver Signal Return Crosstalk**



- All N terminators return their current through  $Z_{RR}$  (shared impedance)

- No crosstalk advantage to current-mode signaling

- TL is like a matched source



### **Power Supply Noise**



To reject power supply noise,  $Z_N = Z_{GT} + Z_{GR}$  must be made as large as possible. This is accomplished by using a current-mode transmitter.



# **Nonideal Return Paths**

- A nonideal return path will appear as an inductive discontinuity
- A nonideal return path will slow the edge rate by filtering out high-frequency components
- If the current divergence path is long enough, a nonideal return path will cause signal integrity problems at the receiver
- Nonideal return paths will increase current loop area and exacerbate EMI
- Nonideal return paths may significantly increase the coupling coefficient between signals



# Signal Return Crosstalk

- Return crosstalk can be reduced with rise-time control
- As rise times get faster, every signal requires its own return 
   might as well use differential signaling
- With voltage-mode signaling, the transmitter signal return crosstalk is a maximum
- High output impedance offers advantage and reduces transmitter return crosstalk
- For current-mode signaling, this form of crosstalk is completely eliminated



# **Application: Return Signal Optimization**

Voltage-mode signaling with  $Z_0 = 50 \Omega$  and rise time  $t_r = 2$  ns and  $Z_{RT}$  dominated by 5 nH inductance.

Approximate  $Z_{RT} = L/t_r = 2.5 \Omega$ Want  $k_{XRT} = 0.1$ 

Solving for N shows that we will need 1 return for every 3 signal traces to meet the spec.

If the rise time is decreased to 1 ns, we will need 1 return for every 2 signal line to keep the same spec

If the rise time is lower than 1 ns, we will need 1 return for every signal  $\rightarrow$  might as well use differential signaling



### **Signaling Over Lumped RLC Interconnect**







Determine the amount of supply noise  $V_N$  that appears across  $R_T$  as a function of frequency. How much signal swing is required to keep the power-supply noise less than 10% of the signal swing across the spectrum from DC to 1GHz





We want  $0.1 V_s > V_{RN} \rightarrow VS > 10V_{RN}$ 



#### **Required Voltage Swing**





### **Ringback and Rise Time Control**





- Violation into threshold region
- Detrimental even if threshold is not crossed
- Can exacerbate ISI
- Can be aggravated by nonlinear (time varying) terminations
- Can increase skew between signals



# **Voltage Reference Uncertainty**



#### **Major Contributors**

- Power supply effects (SSN, ground bounce, rail collapse)
- Noise from IC
- Receiver transistor mismatches
- Return path discontinuities
- Coupling to reference voltage circuitry



### Efficient Bus Design Methodology



Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

# **Bus System Variables**

- I/O capacitance
- Trace length, velocity, and impedance
- Interlayer impedance variations
- Buffer strengths and edge rates
- Termination values
- Receiver setup and hold times
- Interconnect skew specifications
- Package, daughtercard, and parameters



### **Differential vs Single-Ended**

Line impedance:  $Z_o = 50 \Omega$ Source Resistance:  $R_o = 50 \Omega$ Lead Inductance: L = 5 nHPin count: P = 32Data rate: TBR = 8GB/s

$$S+N=P$$

$$S*B=TBR$$

$$K_{XRT} \leq \frac{(N-1)Z_{RT}}{R_{o}+Z_{o}}$$

B: Bit rate per signal pinTBR: Total bit rateS: Number of signal pinsN: Number of return pins

 $Z_{RT}$  is due to the lead inductance  $Z_{RT} \rightarrow Z_{RT}/N$  since there are N ground pins Need to determine S and N



#### **Coupled Transmission Lines**







#### **Even Mode**



Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

#### Odd Mode



Subtract voltage and current equations

V<sub>d</sub> : Odd mode voltage

**I**<sub>d</sub> : Odd mode current

$$V_{d} = \frac{1}{2} (V_{1} - V_{2})$$
$$I_{d} = \frac{1}{2} (I_{1} - I_{2})$$





### **Mode Excitation**





#### PHYSICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF EVEN- AND ODD-MODE IMPEDANCES

- \* Z<sub>e</sub> and Z<sub>d</sub> are the wave resistance seen by the even and odd mode travelling signals respectively.
- \* The impedance of each line is no longer described by a single characteristic impedance; instead, we have

$$V_{1} = Z_{11}I_{1} + Z_{12}I_{2}$$
$$V_{2} = Z_{21}I_{1} + Z_{22}I_{2}$$



### Definitions

#### **Even-Mode Impedance: Z**<sub>e</sub>

Impedance seen by wave propagating through the coupledline system when excitation is symmetric (1, 1).

#### **Odd-Mode Impedance: Z**<sub>d</sub>

Impedance seen by wave propagating through the coupledline system when excitation is anti-symmetric (1, -1).

#### <u>Common-Mode Impedance</u>: Z<sub>c</sub> = 0.5Z<sub>e</sub>

Impedance seen by a pair of line and a common return by a common signal.

#### **Differential Impedance:** $Z_{diff} = 2Z_d$

Impedance seen across a pair of lines by differential mode signal.



#### **Mutual Impedances**

### Z<sub>11</sub>, Z<sub>22</sub> : Self Impedances

#### Z<sub>12</sub>, Z<sub>21</sub> : Mutual Impedances

# For symmetrical lines, $Z_{11} = Z_{22}$ and $Z_{12} = Z_{21}$



#### **Even and Odd Modes**

$$v_{d} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(L_{s} - L_{m})(C_{s} + 2C_{m})}} \qquad Z_{d} = \sqrt{\frac{L_{s} - L_{m}}{C_{s} + 2C_{m}}}$$
$$v_{e} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(L_{s} + L_{m})C_{s}}} \qquad Z_{e} = \sqrt{\frac{L_{s} + L_{m}}{C_{s}}}$$

In general, odd-mode impedance is smaller than evenmode impedance.

In general, odd-mode velocity is larger than even-mode velocity.



#### **Coupled Lines**

#### **Line Space**

#### **Modal Space**

$$V_1 = Z_{11}I_1 + Z_{12}I_2 V_e = Z_eI_e$$

$$V_2 = Z_{21}I_1 + Z_{22}I_2$$

$$V_d = Z_d I_d$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_1 \\ V_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{11} & Z_{12} \\ Z_{21} & Z_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_1 \\ I_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_e \\ V_d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Z_e & 0 \\ 0 & Z_d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_e \\ I_d \end{bmatrix}$$



# Example - Microstrip

$$\epsilon_r = 4.3$$
  
 $Z_s = 56.4 \Omega$ 

Single Line Dielectric height = 6 mils Width = 8 mils

Coupled Lines Height = 6 mils Width = 8 mils Spacing = 12 mils

 $\varepsilon_r = 4.3$ 

$$Z_e = 68.1 \Omega$$
  $Z_d = 40.8 \Omega$   
 $Z_{11} = 54.4 \Omega$   $Z_{12} = 13.6 \Omega$ 



### **Even Mode**





### Odd Mode



$$V_{tdr} = a_e(t,0) + a_d(t,0) - [a_e(t,0) - a_d(t,0)] = V_f + V_b$$

$$I_{tdr} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{a_e(t,0)}{Z_e} + \frac{a_d(t,0)}{Z_d} \end{bmatrix} \qquad I_{tdr} = -\begin{bmatrix} \frac{a_e(t,0)}{Z_e} - \frac{a_d(t,0)}{Z_d} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$a_e(t,0) = 0, \qquad \frac{V_{tdr}}{I_{tdr}} = 2Z_d$$
$$Z_d = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1+\rho_d}{1-\rho_d}\right) Z_g, \qquad v_d = \frac{21}{\tau_d}$$



# Measured Even-Mode Velocity




# Measured Odd-Mode Velocity





### **Even and Odd-Mode Impedances**



Typical Even & Odd Mode Impedances



#### Measured Odd-Mode Impedance





#### Measured Even-Mode Impedance





#### Virtual Reference Plane





## Low-Voltage Differential Signaling (LVDS)

<u>Definition:</u> Method to communicate data using a very low voltage swing (about 350mV) differentially over two PCB traces or a balanced cable

#### **Criteria for high-performance communication**

- Bandwidth
- Low Power
- Low Noise

Solution exists for very short and very long distances; however for board-to-board or box-to-box, this is a challenge



### Why LVDS?

- 1. Differential transmission is less susceptible to common mode noise
- 2. Consequently they can use lower voltage swings
- 3. In PC board (microstrip) odd-mode propagation is faster



### **LVDS** Attributes for EMI

- 1. Low output voltage swing
- 2. Slow edge rates
- 3. Odd-mode operation (magnetic fields cancel)
- 4. Soft output corner transitions



#### **LVDS** Driver and Receiver



- Majority of current flows across 100-ohm resistor
- Switching changes the direction of current
- Logic state determined by current direction



### **LVDS** Standard

#### Maximum Switching Speed

- Depends on line driver
- Depends on selected media (type and length)

#### LVDS Saves Power

- Power dissipated in load is small
- LVDS devices are in CMOS=>low static power
- Lowers system power through current-mode

#### Design Practices

- Matching is critical
- Preserve balance



# **Differential Signaling Technologies**

Differential Driver Output Voltage Receiver Input Threshold Data Rate Supply Current Quad Driver (no load, static) Supply Current Quad Receiver (no load, static) Propagation Delay of Driver Propagation Delay of Receiver Pulse Skew (Driver or Receiver)

RS-422 ±2 to ±5V ±200 mV <30Mbps 60 mA (max) 23mA (max) 11ns (max) 30ns (max) N/A PECL ±600-1000 mV ±200-300mV >400Mbps 32-65mA (max) 40mA (max) 4.5ns (max) 7.0ns (max) 500ps (max)

LVDS ±250-450 mV ±100 mV >400Mbps 8.0mA 15mA (max) 1.7ns (max) 2.7ns (max) 400ps (max)

